

2.0 Public Review and Consultation Process

2.0 Public Review and Consultation Process

2.1 CIRCULATION OF DRAFT EIR

2.1.1 Purpose of Public Review

CEQA views public participation as an essential part of the environmental impact evaluation process. The purposes of public circulation and review of EIRs include:

- Sharing expertise
- Disclosing agency analyses
- Checking for accuracy
- Detecting omissions
- Discovering public concerns
- Soliciting counter proposals

CEQA explains that the focus of the review should be on the sufficiency of the document in identifying and analyzing the possible impacts on the environment and ways in which the significant effects of the project might be avoided or mitigated. Comments are most helpful when they suggest additional specific alternatives or mitigation measures that would provide better ways to avoid or mitigate the significant environmental effects. Reviewers should therefore explain the basis for their comments, and whenever possible should submit data or references in support of their comments (CEQA Guidelines, Section 15204).

CEQA (Cal. Pub. Res. Code Section 21082.2(b)) explains that, "Statements in an environmental impact report and comments with respect to an environmental impact report shall not be determinative of whether the project may have a significant effect on the environment." According to CEQA, it is the responsibility of the decision makers of the Lead Agency to "determine whether a project may have a significant effect on the environment based on substantial evidence in the record" (Cal. Pub. Res. Code Section 21082.2(a)).

2.1.2 Public Review Period and Notifications

In accordance with both the specific requirements and the intent of CEQA, the environmental review process for the M&T Chico Ranch Mine Project has included substantial opportunities for public and agency review and comment on the environmental evaluations. This extensive public review process is briefly summarized in the following paragraphs.

The Draft EIR circulation included the following:

- The original Draft EIR was circulated for review in May of 1998.

- Copies of the Draft EIR were sent directly to responsible, trustee, and other State, Federal, and local agencies expected to have expertise or interest in the resources which may be affected by the proposed project.
- In addition, copies were sent to organizations, businesses, and individuals with special expertise on environmental impacts and/or who had expressed an interest in this particular project, or other activities.
- At the Butte County Planning Commission Hearing on June 11, 1998, extensive public comment was submitted, and it was subsequently determined that portions of the Draft EIR would be revised and recirculated.
- Due to the extent of revisions and the time that had elapsed since circulation of the original Draft EIR, it was determined in early 2002 that the entire Draft EIR should be updated and recirculated. This revised Draft EIR was issued in September 2002.
- The Notice of Completion was filed with the State of California Clearinghouse on September 30, 2002.
- A public hearing was held by the Planning Commission in Oroville. The purpose of the hearing was to enable the public to directly communicate to the Planning Commission their support or concerns regarding this proposal. Appendix A, Public Meeting Minutes, includes the complete text of the minutes from this meeting. Public notice of this meeting was provided by the County. The meeting was held at the following time and place:

October 24, 2002


Butte County Planning Commission
Board of Supervisor's Room
County Administration Center
25 County Center Drive
Oroville, CA 95965

Notice of the availability of this Final EIR has been provided to agencies, organizations, and the public, who have expressed an interest in the project.

2.2 PUBLIC INPUT ANALYSIS

The Draft EIR was circulated to agencies having jurisdiction over natural resources that could be affected by the proposed project, or having expertise or interest in environmental resources. In addition, interested organizations and businesses received the documents or were noticed of their availability. While interest from agencies in the form of comment letters, was comparatively low, with only eleven (11) comment letters received, interest from the public was very high, with over 400 letters received (approximately 110 of these were identical, or almost identical, "form letters").

Public interest in the issues evaluated in the September, 2002 Draft EIR is depicted in Figure 2.0-1, Public Input Analysis. This graph illustrates each of the major issues raised in the comment letters received, the actual number of comments, and percentages, relative to the total comments received. The table was created by breaking down each of the over 400 comment letters received into individual subjects raised or questions asked. This resulted in a total of 1,400 individual comments. For comparative purposes, the graph also shows the relative number of comments received on the original 1997 Draft EIR.



EXPLANATION:

This graph shows the frequency with which issues were raised in comments submitted. The graph shows both the number of times comments were made for each subject, and the percent of the total comments. For example, of the comments received, over 550 comments related to traffic concerns. About 125 similar comments were received in response to circulation of the original Draft EIR. The approximately 550 comments represented 40% of the total comments received. The potential effect of the Project's impact on traffic was one of the primary issues evaluated in the original 1998 Draft EIR, and the recirculated September 2002 Draft EIR.

Figure 2.0-1
Public Input Analysis
M&T CHICO RANCH MINE
BUTTE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

THIS PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

Forty percent of the comments received related to concerns about traffic. Twenty-seven percent of the comments were non-EIR comments, typically voicing opinions about project approval or denial related to air quality impacts, land use, the project and its alternatives, water resources, and noise relating to administrative processes and regulatory compliance. The remaining eight (8) percent were split among wildlife habitat (concerns for impacts to sensitive species and habitats), mitigation monitoring, mosquitoes or other issues.

As is common with Draft EIR circulation and review, many of the comments submitted were general in nature, and asked questions already answered in the Draft EIR evaluations. Other commenters asked for clarification on points addressed in the environmental evaluations while some provided suggestions on the evaluation of impacts and determination of specific mitigation measures.

Evaluation of the comments reviewed relative to the Draft EIR impact analysis determined that in general, the comments received did not require additional evaluation or changes to the conclusions reached, or alternatives to the proposed project. Additional detailed explanations of the hydrology analysis that led to the conclusions presented in the Draft EIR were requested. Some changes were suggested for additional mitigation measures; these were additional mitigation for impacts considered to be below a level of significance. As such, these are not considered significant new information.

Comments received indicated that some reviewers disagree with the Draft EIR conclusions. Where specific points of disagreement were expressed by commenters, detailed responses have been prepared in this document. However, it is recognized that reviewers of the same data may arrive at different conclusions, and therefore the opinions of each commenter are hereby acknowledged.

2.3 APPROACH TO RESPONSES

2.3.1 General Issue Comments

The majority of comments submitted were general in nature and expressed interest in issues such as traffic, noise, air quality, and water quality, as well as the potential effects on area roads and the compatibility of the project with agricultural use and the Williamson Act. Few of these commenters asked questions that had not already been evaluated in the Draft EIR. Most of these general concerns were voiced in conjunction with opinions on project approval/denial. These concerns were anticipated, as these are the same issues that have been the focus of public interest since the initial public scoping process. While it is evident that many of the commenters had not reviewed the documents in detail, some commenters did request clarification on points addressed in the Draft EIR.

These general concerns were collectively addressed as summarized responses in Section 4.0, Collective Responses. Clarification on the environmental evaluations and recommendations in the Draft EIR is provided.

2.3.2 Specific Comments

The Draft EIR was circulated to numerous agencies having jurisdiction over natural resources that could be affected by the proposed project, or having expertise or interest in environmental

resources. In addition, interested organizations and businesses received the documents or were noticed of their availability. A number of agencies, organizations, businesses, and individuals submitted specific comments or opinions based on review of the EIR. The majority of these comments requested clarification on specific points addressed, while some provided suggestions on the evaluation of impacts and determination of specific mitigation measures. Replies to comments from agencies are provided in Section 5.1, Responses to Agencies. Comments from organizations are responded to in Section 5.2, Responses to Organizations. Comments from, and responses to, area businesses are contained in Section 5.3, Responses to Businesses. Responses to private citizens whose concerns were representative of public comment or who had detailed questions or suggestions regarding the project are presented in Section 5.4, Responses to Private Citizens. Responses to the Applicant are contained in Section 5.5, Responses to Applicant.

2.4 LIST OF COMMENTERS AND INDEX TO RESPONSES

A list of issues raised in the comment letters and public hearing is compiled in Table 2.0-1, List of Issues Raised and Index to Information. Since most of the comments raised issues that had already been addressed in the Draft EIR, the table indicates where in that document commenters may find the evaluations. The table also references issues for which further information is provided in this Final EIR.

Table 2.0-2, List of Commenters and Index to Responses, is a list of each commenting agency, organization, business, and individual. The list indicates the issues raised and the location where the requested information can be found. Since public hearing comments were general in nature, they are referenced to Section 4.0, Collective Responses, except in some cases, where a subsequent detailed letter was submitted. Where specific comments were submitted requiring a detailed response, the commenter is referred to the Section of the Final EIR containing the response. Where commenters raised issues that had been previously addressed in the Draft EIR, they are referred to those sections of the Draft EIR for that information.

**TABLE 2.0-1
LIST OF ISSUES RAISED AND INDEX TO INFORMATION**

Subject Numbers	Issue Description	Location of Information	
		<i>Draft EIR</i>	<i>Final EIR</i>
WATER RESOURCES			
1a	Changes to Surface Hydrology, Infiltration, Wetlands	4.4, Appendix D-1	4.7, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4
1b	Creek Migration (linking surface water to groundwater)	Appendix D-1	4.7, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4
1c	Flooding	4.4.2, 4.4.3, Appendix D-2	4.7, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4
1d	Groundwater Contamination/Quality/Recharge/Monitoring	4.4.2, 4.4.3, Appendix D-3	4.7, 4.9, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4
1e	Pit Water Quality	4.4.2, 4.4.3	4.7, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4
1f	Surface Water Contamination/Quality	4.4.2, 4.4.3	4.7, 5.1, 5.3
TRAFFIC/ROADS			
2a	Road Damage/Maintenance/Rehab	4.6.2	4.4, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4
2b	Traffic	4.6.2, 4.6.3, Appendix G	4.4, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4
HEALTH AND SAFETY			
3a	Handling of Hazardous Materials (e.g., motor fuels)	3.4.9, 3.4.10, 4.6	4.5, 4.7, 5.1
3b	Safety (Traffic and Other) and Health Issues	4.6.2, 4.6.3, Appendix F-2	4.4, 4.5, 4.7, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4
3c	Mosquitoes	N/A	5.1, 5.4
LAND USE/ZONING/GENERAL PLAN			
4a	Land Use/Zoning/General Plan	4.2.2, 4.2.3	4.6, 5.1, 5.4
4b	Farmland Reduction	4.2.2, 4.2.3, 4.3.2, 4.3.3	4.6, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4
WILDLIFE/HABITAT/WETLANDS AND RECLAMATION			
5a	Reclamation	3.4.13, Appendix C	5.1, 5.4
5b	Vegetation	4.7.2, 4.7.3	5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4
5c	Wildlife/Habitat/Wetlands	4.7.2, 4.7.3	5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4
AIR QUALITY			
6	Air Emissions/Fugitive Dust	4.5.3, Appendix F	4.5, 5.1, 5.4
NOISE			
7	Noise	4.8.2, 4.8.3	4.8, 5.2, 5.4
ALTERNATIVES			
8	Alternatives	5.0	3.0, 4.0, 5.0
MITIGATION/MONITORING			
9	Mitigation/Monitoring	1.8	4.9
PROJECT DESCRIPTION			
10a	Operating Schedule	3.4.4	5.4
10b	Project Description	3.4	3.0, 4.0, 5.0
OTHER			
11a	Administrative Considerations	1.0	1.3, 4.2
11b	Aesthetics	4.9	5.4
11c	Cultural Resources	4.10	5.4
11d	Cumulative Impacts	6.1	3.2, 4.0, 5.0
11f	Soils/Geology/Subsidence	4.3.2, 4.3.3	4.6, Appendix B (Kelley)
11h	Climate	4.1-1	5.4

TABLE 2.0-1 (continued)

Subject Numbers	Issue Description	Location of Information	
		<i>Draft EIR</i>	<i>Final EIR</i>
NON-EIR			
12a	Approval/Denial Decisions	1.0	1.3, 4.2
12b	Economic Considerations	N/A	4.2
12c	Editorial/Technical Comments (Includes perceived inadequacies)	N/A	3.0
12d	Legal	N/A	1.2, 4.2
12e	No Comment (Bureaucratic)	N/A	5.1
12f	Other (Includes "Need" for Project)	3.3.1	4.2, 4.3
12g	Permitting	1.6, 1.7, Appendix C	3.0, 4.9
12h	Quality of Life	N/A	4.2

Notes:

1. Explanation: This table identifies each topic raised as a result of public and agency comment on the Draft EIR. For each topic, the chapter/section of the Draft EIR containing the appropriate information is indicated, or the Final EIR chapter/section where explanations in response to comments are referenced. This table serves as an index to Table 2.0-2.
2. "N/A" signifies no applicable section.

**TABLE 2.0-2
LIST OF COMMENTERS ON DRAFT EIR AND INDEX TO RESPONSES¹**

Commenter	Issues Raised ²	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
STATE AGENCIES			
California Department of Water Resources Sorensen, Sterling	9, 12e	2.5	5.1.7
California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region Bruun, Ray	1d, 1f, 5c, 9, 10b, 12c	4.4, 4.7, 2.5, 3.4	5.1.6
California State Clearinghouse	12e	N/A ⁴	5.1.8
Department of Conservation Vink, Erik Pompy, James S.	1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 4b, 5a, 5c, 11f	4.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.7	5.1.4
LOCAL AGENCIES			
Butte County Agricultural Commissioner Hill, Robert C.	5a, 5b, 9	3.4, 2.5, Appendix C	5.1.1
Butte County Air Quality Management District Williams, Gail	6	4.5	5.1.3
Butte County Environmental Health Chico Office Huerta, Michael	1g, 9, 12c	4.4, 2.5	5.1.5
Butte County Public Works Department Crump, Mike	2a, 9	4.6, 2.5	5.1.10
City of Chico Department of Public Works Mickelson, Brian K.	12e	N/A ⁴	5.1.2
Durham Unified School District Chennell, Penny, Ed. D.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	5.1.9
Butte County Mosquito and Vector Control District Camy, James A.	3c	N/A ⁴	5.1, 5.4
ORGANIZATIONS			
Butte County Citizens for Better Government Erridge, Frank	2a, 2b, 4b, 6, 7	4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	5.2.3
Butte Environmental Council Vlamis, Barbara	4a, 4b, 5a, 5c, 6, 7, 11f, 12c, 12f	4.2, 4.3, 3.4, 4.7, 4.5, 4.8, Appendix C	5.2.1
Chico Velo Club McLaughlin, Ed	2b, 3b, 11g	4.6, 3.4	5.2.4
Sacramento River Preservation Trust Merz, John	4a, 5a, 5b, 5c, 8, 9, 10a, 12c, 12f	4.2, 4.3, 3.4, Appendix C, 4.7, 5.0, 2.5	5.2.2
BUSINESSES			
Anderson Orchards Anderson, Barbara	1d, 1f, 2b, 4b, 5c, 12a, 12b, 12f	4.4, 4.7, 4.6, 1.0, 4.2, 4.3	4.7, 4.4, 4.6, 4.9
Andrew A. Rich, M.D., F.A.C.S. Rich, Andrew A., M.D.	12h	N/A ⁴	4.2

Notes:

¹ Explanation: This table lists each commenter and the location where a response may be found in this Final EIR, or where the requested information has been previously provided in the Draft EIR. The table is intended to both direct the reader, and to ensure that each substantive comment has been provided with a response.

² Numbers in this column correlate to the issues raised in Table 2.0-1.

³ "IS" denotes that the comment letters so identified were submitted In Support of the project. Letters without an IS designation generally voiced some level of concern with regard to the project or, in the case of some agencies, simply acknowledged receipt and review of the Draft EIR.

⁴ "N/A" signifies no applicable section.

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised ²	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Apex Fence Co., Inc. Parmer, David A.	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
BCM Construction Company Inc. Bowman, Matthew R.	12a, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2, 4.3
Beltramo Ranch Beltramo, Tom	1d, 1e, 4b, 11a	4.4, 4.2, 4.3, 1.0	4.7, 4.6
Bremner Farms Bremner, James E.	1b, 1d, 1e, 1g, 2a, 2b, 3b, 10b, 12c, 12f	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.9	5.3.1
Bremner Organic Almonds Bremner, Mr. And Mrs.	1c, 1d, 12d	4.4	4.7
Chico Sports Club Nielsen, Preben	2b, 3b, 11g	4.6	5.3.2
Compass Equipment Inc. Leforce, Don	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	4.2
Donald J. Mangus, M.D. Mangus, Donald J., M.D.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Doyle Ranch Doyle, Ken and Irene	12b, 12g, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2, 1.3
Durham Veterinary Clinic Lyman, William N., D.V.M.	2b, 3b, 12h	3.4, 4.6	4.4, 4.2
Feeney Engineering Feeney, Robert J.	12b, 12g, IS ³	N/A ⁴	1.3, 4.2
Fruitridge Printing & Lithograph Inc. Maddricc, Jay	2b	4.6	4.4
Gary F. Arnet, D.D.S. Arnet, Gary F.	3b, 12a, 12h	1.0, 3.4, 4.6	4.4, 4.2, 1.3
Johnson's Country Inn Johnson, David and Joan	2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 7, 11b, 11c, 12a	4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 1.0, 4.5, 4.8, 4.10	4.4, 4.6, 4.5, 4.8, 5, 1.3
KRC Holdings, Inc. Pole, Michael W.	12c, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	5, 4.2
Lonestar Club Magers, Mary	2a, 2b, 3b, 7, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.8, 1.3
MBD Meghdadi, Andrew	12a, 12b, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Mendonca Orchards, Inc. Mendonca, John Mendonca, Evelyn Mendonca, Donald Mendonca, Alvin Mendonca, Glenn Mendonca, Steven	1d, 1h, 2b, 3b, 6, 12b	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.5	5.3.3
OMNI Pipelines Inc. Byrne, Thomas J.	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Orthopedic Associates of Northern California Doherty, William P., Ph.D., M.D.	2a, 3b, 11g	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Peterson Tractor Co. Lynch, Jim	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Peterson Tractor Co. Staton, Curtis	12a, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Peterson Tractor Co. Green, Gary	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised ²	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Roney Land and Cattle Company Roney, Wally	1d, 2a, 2b, 3b, 9, 11a, 12c, 12d, 12f	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 2.5, 1.0	5.3.4
Rural Consulting Associates Mann, Jim	12a, 12b, 12f, 12h, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
The McDonald Ranch McDonald, Norman and Zorka	2b	4.6	4.4
Tozier's True Value Tozier, Mike	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Trust of C L Schwein Byrne, Jacqueline	2a, 2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12f	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.2, 4.8, 4.4, 4.5
Ward's Concrete, Inc. Ward, Leland, Jr. Ward, William Foster, Roger	12b, 12g, IS ³	N/A ⁴	1.3, 4.2
Yuba Trucking Cotter, Kevin	2b, 3b, 6, 12a, IS ³	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 1.0	4.4, 4.5, 1.3
INDIVIDUALS			
____, Billy F. Name Unrecognizable	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
____, Byron Name Unrecognizable	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Abbott, Gloria	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Aguiar, Monique	2b, 12a	4.6, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Aldridge, Chuck	12b, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Alldredge, Melodi	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Alldredge, Terry D.	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Allen, Mark and Karen	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Allison, Carol	2a, 2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Allison, Steve	2b, 3b	3.4, 4.6	4.4
Anderson, Ashley	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Anderson, Barbara K.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Anderson, Chris	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Anderson, David	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	4.2, 1.3
Anderson, Gard and Janelle	2b	4.6	4.4
Anderson, Roberta	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Antonetti, Kris Bowels, Tony Gordon, Ray Hudson, Tammie L. Johnson, Myra Sawaya, Jackie Springer, Wayne Springer, Steve Name Unrecognizable	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Atkins, Martha E.	2b, 4b, 12f	4.6, 4.2, 4.3	4.4, 4.6, 4.2
Azevedo, Kathryn	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Baccala, Carolyn	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Barnes, Michael G.	12a, 12b, 12f	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Bennelt, Rosemary	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Bennett, Lori	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.8	4.4, 4.6, 4.8
Bensel, Mrs.	2a, 2b, 4b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3	4.4, 4.6
Benson, Curtis	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Bergmann, Sarah	2b, 12a	4.6, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Biggs, Richard	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Bills, Dennis	2b, 3b, 3c, 4b, 6, 12f	4.6, 4.3, 4.2, 4.5	4.4, 4.9, 5
Bisho, Jason	2b, 4b, 6, 11b	4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 4.9	4.4, 4.6, 4.5
Blanchard, Gerald L.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Blanchard, Grace	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Blasingame, June	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Blasingame, Lucile	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Bodarke, Rosemary C.	2a, 2b, 3b, 6, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Boeller, Bob and Sheri	12a, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Boone, Chris	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Boots, Anne	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Bowen, Frances J.	2b, 6, 7	4.6, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Bowen, Judith W.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Bowen, Thomas C.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Brandt Family	2b, 3b, 12a, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3, 4.2
Brandt, Lisa J.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Bremner, Nell	2b, 3b, 9, 11a, 11b, 12b, 12h	3.4, 2.5, 4.9	5.4.1, 4.6
Briggs, Richard W.	2b, 3b, 6, 7, 11g, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.3
Brillante, Larry W.	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Brinson, David	2b, 3b, 10b	3.4, 4.6	4.4
Brinson, Janet	2b, 3b, 10b	3.4, 4.6	4.4
Brock, Lori and Duane Gilbertson, Helen and Earl	2b, 3b	3.4, 4.6	4.4
Brock, Venus	2b, 3b, 7	3.4, 4.6, 4.8	4.4, 4.8
Brooks, Bob	2b	4.6	4.4
Brown, Robert T.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Brown, Valerie M.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Bruce, Iona	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Bruce, Robert and Darlene	2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.3
Bultema, Josephina	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Bultemo, William	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Burgon, Diana Berexa	2b, 3b, 6, 7, 11g, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.3
Burgon, Dirk C.	2b, 3b, 7, 11g	4.6, 3.4, 4.8	4.4, 4.8
Burrough, Luccille	2b	4.6	4.4
Calzera, Jamie R.	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Canterlupe, Centhong, Mr. And Mrs.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Carpenter, Elsie and Hal	2b, 3b, 7, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.8, 4.2
Carr, Kevin	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Cartwright, Clyde L.	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 12b, 12f	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3	4.4, 4.6, 4.2
Cartwright, Rosalie, G.	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 7, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.2, 4.5, 6.8, 4.4, 4.6, 4.2, 4.5, 4.8
Caudill, Laurie	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Cavalieri, Joe V.	12a, 12f, IS ³	4.0	1.3, 4.2
Caviness, Karen	2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.4, 4.5, 4.2
Chapman, Bonnie and Ken	2b, 7, 12h	4.6, 4.8	4.4, 4.8, 4.2
Cole, Gail	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Collett, Dorothy E. Collett, Earl W.	4b, 6, 7	4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.6, 4.5, 4.8
Comer, Debra	2b, 3b, 4b	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 4.6
Cook, Clinton C. and Melissa A.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Cooper, Larry and Theresa	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 7, 12b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.5, 4.7
Cooper, Rita	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Corvan, Ceryl L.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Cotter, Jamie	4a, 5c, 6, 7, 9, 12f, 12h	4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.4, 4.5, 2.5	4.6, 4.9, 4.5, 4.8, 4.2, 4.7
Cottle, Catherine N.	7	4.8	5.4.2
Cottle, John N.	1b, 1d, 6, 9, 12f	4.8, 2.5	5.4-2
Coutts, John and Colleen	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Covogor, Ricardo E.	1c, 1f, 2a, 2b, 4a, 5b, 5c, 6	4.4, 4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 4.7, 4.5	5.4.4
Crews, Jim	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Crosby, Ed	2b	4.6	4.4
Crosby, Katherine Grant	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Culver, Al	2b, 6, 12h	4.6, 4.5	4.4, 4.5, 4.2
Cushman, Karen W.	2b	4.6	4.4
Dalke, James	2b	4.6	4.4
Dalrymple, Bush	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Davidson, Patrick	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Davis, James W.	2b, 3b, 6	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 4.5
Decker, Earl N.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Delfino, Laura	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Denlay, Tim	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Devine, Nellie Rodgers, Jean Gugsby, Mildred	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Dinwiddie, Thomas R.	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Dolan, Jessie E.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Donnell, Roger	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Drummond, Diane	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Duisenberg, Trudy and David	2a, 2b, 3b, 11g, 12h	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 4.2
Dutra, Lori	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Dutra, Wayne R.	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Enns, Ed	2b, 4a	4.6, 4.2, 4.3	4.4, 4.6
Fagundes, Laurie and John	2b, 3b, 7, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.8	4.4, 4.2, 4.8
Farmer-Molin, Alison	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Fedolema, John R.	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Ficci, Lonie	2b	4.6	4.4
Ford, Gil and Susan	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Ford, Jeffrey	2a, 2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.5, 4.8, 4.2
Ford, Mary	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Ford, Matt	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Frame, Pat	12b, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
France, Jim	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Fredericks, Jeff	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Fultz, Helen	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Gall, Kenneth and Marcia	1d, 2a, 2b, 4b, 6, 7	4.4, 4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.6, 4.5, 4.8, 4.7, 4.4
Garcia, Bob	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Garton, Jim	2b, 3b, 12h	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 4.2
Geer, Beverly	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Gizarnick, Cathleen	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Goude-lock, Kristen	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Goul-ick, Rose	2b, 3b, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.8	4.4, 4.8
Grant, Dan	12a, 12f, 12g, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Greco, Jim and Cindy	1d, 2a, 3b, 4b, 6, 7, 12a, 12b	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	4.2, 4.5, 4.7, 4.4, 4.6, 4.8
Gregor, Bets	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Griffin, Deanna	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Griffin, Odean	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Grissom, Susan	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Guba, Ron	12a, 12b, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Halts, Jesse	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Hamilton, Catherine	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Fagundes, Laurie and John	2b, 3b, 7, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.8	4.4, 4.2, 4.8
Farmer-Molin, Alison	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Fedolema, John R.	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Ficci, Lonie	2b	4.6	4.4
Ford, Gil and Susan	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Ford, Jeffrey	2a, 2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.5, 4.8, 4.2
Ford, Mary	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Ford, Matt	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Frame, Pat	12b, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
France, Jim	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Fredericks, Jeff	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Fultz, Helen	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Gall, Kenneth and Marcia	1d, 2a, 2b, 4b, 6, 7	4.4, 4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.6, 4.5, 4.8, 4.7, 4.4
Garcia, Bob	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Garton, Jim	2b, 3b, 12h	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 4.2
Geer, Beverly	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Gizarnick, Cathleen	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Goude-lock, Kristen	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Goul-ick, Rose	2b, 3b, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.8	4.4, 4.8
Grant, Dan	12a, 12f, 12g, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Greco, Jim and Cindy	1d, 2a, 3b, 4b, 6, 7, 12a, 12b	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	4.2, 4.5, 4.7, 4.4, 4.6, 4.8
Gregor, Bets	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Griffin, Deanna	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Griffin, Odean	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Grissom, Susan	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Guba, Ron	12a, 12b, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Halts, Jesse	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Hamilton, Catherine	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hamilton, Farrell, Mr. And Mrs.	2b	4.6	4.4
Hamilton, Loraine and Farrell	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hamilton, Roger	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hanania, Phyllis	2a, 2b, 4b, 6, 7	4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.6, 4.5, 4.8
Hansen, Andrew and Marian	2a, 2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Harper, Michael	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hawkins, Kathleen M.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hechesen, Jean	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Henderson, Kaun	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hillard, Linda	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hin, Debbie	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hoag, George	2a, 2b, 3b, 12a, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3, 4.2
Holderkin, Roy and Marjorie	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Horton, Robert H.	12h, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Hostettler, Tim	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Howard, Dustin	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Hueckel, Kim	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Huffine, Kurt	2b, 3b, 4b, 12a, 12h	4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 1.0	4.4, 4.6, 4.2, 1.3
Hunter, Audrey	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hunter, William	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hutto, Sue	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Hutton, Gregg	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Imhoff, Duane	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Ingvoldsen, Marc A.	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
James, Willie Jr.	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Jaquess, Delores	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 1.3
Jaquess, Glenn	2b, 12a, 12f	4.6, 1.0	4.4, 1.3, 4.2
Jeffries, John	2a, 12a, 12b	4.6, 1.0	4.4, 1.3, 4.2
Job, Andrea	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Johnson, Arland	2b	4.6	4.4
Johnson, Jim	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Johnson, Joan	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Johnston, Darlena A.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Johnston, Rolina	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Jones, Daryl	3b, 3c, 7, 12a, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.8, 1.3, 4.2
Jones, Gladys	1c	4.4	4.7

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Jones, Gladys Jones, Ron Bremner, Jim and Nell Prentice, Frank and Lila Prentice, Steve and Yolanda Sprague, Ruth Cottle, John and Catherine Anderson, Don and Barbara Tewes, Ron	3a, 3b, 4a, 4b, 5a	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 3.4, Appendix C	4.4, 4.6, 4.9
Jones, Gloria	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Jones, Gregory A.	2b, 3b, 7, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, N/A	4.4, 4.8, 4.2
Jones, Harold	1b, 1d, 12d	4.4	5.4.5
Jones, Norma	1d, 1e, 1f, 2b, 3b, 6	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.5	4.7, 4.4, 4.5
Jones, Richard	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Jones, Ron	11f, 12c, 12f, 12h, 1c, 1d, 2a, 2b, 3b, 4a, 4b, 7, 8, 11b, 11d	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 5, 4.9, 6.1, 4.3, 3.3	4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 4.2, 4.7, 4.9, 4.3
Jones, Ronald E.	2a, 2b, 12b	4.6	5.4.6
Jones, Ronald and Gladys	1c, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4b, 5a, 5c, 7, 9, 11f, 12a, 12b, 12c, 12g, 12h	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.7, 4.8, 2.5, 1.0, Appendix C	5.4.7
Jones, Sandra J.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Joyner, Cheryl	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Kanter, Billie	2b, 3b, 11g, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 4.2
Keanedy, Andrea	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Keep, Louise	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ^d	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Kehoe, Brian and Family	2b, 3b, 6, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Kesterson, Ila F.	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3	4.4, 4.6
Ketscher, Jake Cooper, Larry Cooper, Jerry A. Daleon, Dolores Bayne, Colleen _____, Jennifer Rae Name Unrecognizable Oslon, Joseph _____, Anthony Name Unrecognizable Merritt, Lynda J, Barbara Name Unrecognizable	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Kimble, Penny	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
King, Jane C.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Kitzlor, Lee	12a	1.0	1.3
Klick, Peg	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Kmiecik, Teresa	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Kunz, Bruce	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Kyle, Erin and Charles	2a, 2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8, 4.2
La Plant, Billy	12a, IS ^d	1.0	1.3
Laney, Larry and Kathleen Laney, Mr. And Mrs. Robert	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ^d	1.0	1.3, 4.2

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Larson, Tonis and Norm	2a, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Lau, Mary	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Lee, Penny	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Lehman, Fred	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Lester, Sylvia	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Lewis, Sam Jr.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Lister, Frank	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Locuy, Helen and Bob	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Lovgren, Ken	2b, 3b, 12a, 12b	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3, 4.2
Lucas, Doris	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Lucas, John W.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Ludwig, _____ Name Unrecognizable	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Luvis, Victoria	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
MacGregor, S. E.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Maddricc, Jay	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Malilen, Michael L.	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Mann, Vergie G.	2b, 3b, 4b, 6, Project Need	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.5, 4.4, 4.3, 4.2, 4.7
Martin, Rebekah	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 5c, 6, 7, 11b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8, 4.9	4.4, 4.6, 4.9, 4.5, 4.8, 5
Masuda, Roni and Veronica	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Mathey, Gerry	2b, 3b, 7, 12a, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.8, 1.3, 4.2
May, Robert	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Mayfield, Ronald and Janet	2a, 2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	5.4.8
McCutcheon, Fran	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
McDonald, Michael and Ann	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
McElroy, Gary	12b, 12f, 12g, IS ³	N/A ⁴	1.3, 4.2
McNair, Kelly	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Megebow, Bonnie	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Mendonza, Laura	2a, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Merchant, Thomas and Lesa	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Mesa, Linda	2b, 3b, 6, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Messina, John	12a, 12b, 12f, IS	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Mickelson, Mike	2b	4.6	4.4
Mickelson, Sheila	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Miles, David	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Miller, Angela	2b	4.6	4.4
Miller, Angela and Family	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Miller, Harry R.	2b	4.6	4.4
Miller, Lin	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 12b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.5
Miller, Mimi	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Miller, Nancy and Hal	2b, 6, 7, 12b, 12h, Water issues	4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4	4.2, 4.7, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Miller, Tom	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 12b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.5
Milosevich, Silvia	2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.2, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Monninger Family	2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8, 4.2
Moore, Denny	2b, 3b, 12a, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	1.3, 4.2, 4.4
Moore, Mike	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Morales, Robert Barker, Betty J.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Morton, Jeanette	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Mosse, Shirley	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Mosse, Troy	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Murray, Beth	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Naegeli, Diane	11a	1.0	4.2
Name Unrecognizable	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Name Unrecognizable	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Name Unrecognizable	2a, 2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Name Unrecognizable	2b, 3b, 3c, 4b, 6, 12f	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.5
Name Unrecognizable	3b, 4b, 5c, 12a, 12b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 1.0, Appendix C	4.4, 4.6, 4.9, 1.3, 4.2
Name Unrecognizable	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Name Unrecognizable	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Name Unrecognizable	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Name Unrecognizable	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Name Unrecognizable	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Names Unrecognizable (8)	2b, 3b, 6, 7, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	1.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Nielsen, Greg and Nikki	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Noreen, Robert J.	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Ocampo, Daniel and Erin	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Pack, Velva	2b, 7, 12h	4.6, 4.8	4.2, 4.4, 4.8
Pankhurst, Richard and Kristin	2b, 4b, 6, 7, 12h	4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.2, 4.6, 4.5, 4.8
Parkhurst, Gina	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	4.2, 1.3
Paterson, Archie	No issues, opposed	N/A ⁴	2.2, 4.2
Peet, Carol L.	No issues, opposed	N/A ⁴	2.2, 4.2
Perkins, Pat	2a, 2b, 3b, 6, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Petterson, James	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Phillips, Maria A.	2b, 6, 7, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.2, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Picard, Maurice	2b, 6, 7	4.6, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Pierce, Amber	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Pingatore, Karen and Rich	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Pinkley, Jeff	12b, 12f, 12h, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Pisenti, Ramie T.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Potane, Jason	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Prentice, Frank	1d, 2b, 4a, 4b, 9, 11a, 11f, 12a, 12c, 12f	4.4, 4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 2.5, 1.0	5.4.9
Prentice, Lila	1b, 1c, 1d, 2a, 2b, 3b, 3c, 4b, 5a, 5c, 6, 9, 10a, 11a, 11b, 11f	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.7, 2.5, 1.0, 4.9, Appendix C	5.4.10

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Prentice, Steve	1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1f, 1g, 2b, 3b, 6, 7, 11a, 12b, 12f, 12h	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	5.4.11
Pyle, John	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 12b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.5
Pyle, Sara	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 12b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.5
Ravert, Anthony	12a, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Ray, Greg and Jan Marie	2b, 3b, 12h	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 4.2
Raymond, Robert	2b, 12a	4.6, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Read, Karen	2a, 2b, 3b, 7, 12a, 12b, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.8, 1.3, 4.2
Retzer, Christine	2a, 3b, 4b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3	4.4, 4.6
Retzer, Harvey	2b	4.6	4.4
Rhoad, Corky	12a, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Ricci, Beverly	2b, 3b, 6, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 4.5	4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Rice, John, Mr. And Mrs.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Richardson, Melissa	12a, 12b, 12f	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Ridenour, Steven and Cynthia	2a, 2b, 3b, 4b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3	4.4, 4.6
Riley, Catherine	2b, 3b, 6, 9, 12a, 12b, 12d, 12f	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 2.5, 1.0	4.9, 1.3, 4.2, 4.4, 4.5
Ripbee, Karla	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Rodriquez, Isidro	2b, 3b, 7, Water issues	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 4.4	4.7, 4.4, 4.8
Rogers, Daryl	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Rogers, Donald	2a, 2b, 7, 12a, 12b, 12h	4.6, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.8, 1.3, 4.2
Rood, Janine	2b, 3b, 11g, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Rose, Jim and Gloria	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Scholey, George E.	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Scott, Anna	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Selkirk, Ron	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Shanks, Catherine	2a, 2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Shanks, Sheyanne	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Shirley, Richard and Norma	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Shun, Michael M.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Simmons, Becky	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Slattery, Lorraine	3b, 6, 7, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.2, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Small, Larry	2a, 2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Smith, Bruce	1b, 1d	4.4	5.4.12
Smith, Carson and Cathy	2b, 3b, 4b, 7, 12a, 12f	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 1.3, 4.2
Smith, Dallas and Carolyn	1d, 2b, 3b, 6, 7, 9, 12a	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 2.5, 1.0	4.7, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8, 4.2, 1.3
Smith, Jeff R.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Smith, William H.	2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 7, 12a, 12c, 12f	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	5.4.13

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Snowden, James H.	2a, 3b, 4b, 5c, 6, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.7, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.6, 4.9, 4.5, 4.8
Snowden, Sharon	2a, 3b, 4b, 5c, 6, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.6, 4.5, 4.9, 4.8
Snyder, Dianna M.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Sprague, Ruth	1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1f, 1g, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4b, 5a, 6, 7, 9, 11f, 12b, 12h	4.4, 4.6, 3.4, Appendix C, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	5.4.14
Spraule, Carrol	2b, 3b, 6, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Statze, Cassandra L.	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Steffen, Tom and Cindy	2b, 3b, 12h	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 4.2
Stephenson, Kala	2b, 3b, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Stoner, Randy	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Talley, Dean O.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Talley, Nancy S.	2b, 3b, 4b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Teague-Urbach, Janie	3c, 5c, 6, 7, 12f	4.7, 4.5, 4.8	4.5, 4.8, 4.9, 4.2, 5
Tewes, Ron	2b, 4a, 4b, 5a, 11a, 12b, 12f	4.6, 4.2, 4.3, 3.4, 1.0, Appendix C	4.4, 4.2, 4.9, 4.6
Toney, Glen O.	2a, 2b, 3b, 7, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.8, 1.0	4.4, 4.8, 1.3
Tozier, Austin	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Tozier, Mary John	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Tozier, Paula L.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Trisdale, Jonathan	12a, IS ³	1.0	1.3
Trowbridge, Mike	2b, 3b, 6, 7, 11g, 12a	4.6, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 1.0	1.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8
Urbach-Teague, Michael	4b, 12f	4.2, 4.3	4.6, 4.2
Vance, T. K.	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Vandervelden, Kim Garrison, Darrell	2b, 3b, 4b, 6	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.4, 4.6, 4.5
Velasquez, Mark	2b, 12h	4.6	4.4, 4.2
Vercruyssen, Daryl	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Vercruyssen, Ethyle	12b, 12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Vercruyssen, Philip	12f, IS ³	N/A ⁴	4.2
Vercruyssen, Rene J.	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	4.2, 1.3
Voight, Roxanne	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Voris, Elaine	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Wahl, Diane and Dale	2b, 7, 12h	4.6, 4.8	4.4, 4.8, 4.2
Walker, Bruce and Cindy	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Walker, Iris	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Wallace, Thomas J. III, Mrs.	2b, 3b, 4b, 6	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	4.4, 4.6, 4.5
Walters, Alys	2a, 3b, 7	4.6, 3.4, 4.8	4.4, 4.8
Walters, Edward	2a, 2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Walters, Jerry	2b, 3b, 4b	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3	4.4
Watje, Kathy	2a, 2b, 12a, 12b, 12h	4.6, 1.0	4.4, 1.3, 4.2
Webster, James E., IV	12a, 12b, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2

TABLE 2.0-2 (continued)

Commenter	Issues Raised	Response	
		Draft EIR	Final EIR
Weinrich, Barbara and Hubert	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Wharton, Doris	12a, 12b, 12f, IS ³	1.0	1.3, 4.2
Wheeler, Debra	2b, 12a	4.6, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Wilbur, Kenneth G.	2b, 3b, 12h	4.6, 3.4	4.4, 4.2
Wilbur, Linda	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Wiley, Cheri S.	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Williams, Patty	2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Winslow, Rick	2b, 12a	4.6, 1.0	4.4, 1.3
Woodbeck, Paul and Sharon	1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 2b, 4a, 4b, 5a, 5c, 6, 7, 9, 10a, 11b, 11c, 12a, 12b, 12c, 12h	4.4, 4.6, 4.2, 4.3, Appendix C, 3.4, 4.5, 4.8, 4.9, 1.0, 4.10	5.4.15
Wright, Harlan	2a, 2b, 3b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Ybanez, Gala and Carmen	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Young, Colleen	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Young, John	2b, 3b, 10b	4.6, 3.4	4.4
Young, Karen A.	2b, 3b, 4b, 6, 7, 12h	4.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.8	4.4, 4.6, 4.5, 4.8, 4.2

Notes:

- ¹ Explanation: This table lists each commenter and the location where a response may be found in this Final EIR, or where the requested information has been previously provided in the Draft EIR. The table is intended to both direct the reader, and to ensure that each substantive comment has been provided with a response.
- ² Numbers in this column correlate to the issues raised in Table 2.0-1.
- ³ "IS" denotes that the comment letters so identified were submitted In Support of the project. Letters without an IS designation generally voiced some level of concern with regard to the project or, in the case of some agencies, simply acknowledged receipt and review of the Draft EIR.
- ⁴ "N/A" signifies no applicable section.

THIS PAGE
INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK